

**BUREAU OF SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES**

Post Office Box 980550

West Sacramento, CA 95798-0550

Phone (916) 575-7055 Fax (916) 575-7289

www.bsis.ca.gov**NOTICE****Scheduled Meeting of the
PRIVATE SECURITY DISCIPLINARY REVIEW COMMITTEE,
NORTHERN CALIFORNIA**

June 13, 2017

Elihu M. Harris Building
1515 Clay Street
Room 9
(room subject to change)
Oakland, CA 94612

9:00 a.m. – Until completion of business

Contact Person: Jasmine Argo
2420 Del Paso Road, Suite 270
Sacramento, CA 95834
(916) 575-7064

DisciplinaryReviewCommittee@dca.ca.gov

The purpose of the Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee (DRC) is to review applicants' appeals of the Bureau's denials of licensure and licensees' appeals of the Bureau's suspensions and imposition of fines. The Private Security DRC is authorized to hear appeals of private proprietary security employers and officers, as registered by the Bureau under Chapter 11.4 (commencing with Section 7574 of the Business and Professions Code), and private patrol operators, private patrol operator qualified managers, security guards, firearm permit holders, firearm training facilities and instructors, and baton training facilities and instructors, licensed, certified, or registered by the Bureau under Chapter 11.5 (commencing with Section 7580 of the Business and Professions Code).

DRC Members

Scott McDonald
Robert Hessee
Collin Wong
Susan Johnson
Leslye Tinson

Cases are heard in order of arrival so Respondents should confirm their appearance and arrival time by signing in on the “Respondent Sign-In” sheet upon entering the designated waiting room. However, the Committee may hear a case out of order due to hardship-related circumstances. The Committee will consider requests to be heard out of order on a case-by-case basis.

Persons that are not listed as Respondents on the meeting’s Agenda are not required to add their name to the sign-in list. This is an open meeting and any person may attend as a member of the public. Public attendees should not sign-in on the “Respondent Sign-In” sheet.

Important: No unscheduled cases will be heard by the DRC; Respondents with appeals pending should not sign-in if they are not listed on the agenda. Respondents with appeals pending may attend the public portions of the meeting as a member of the public and submit comment during public comment periods, however, Respondents may not address the committee with regard to their own appeal.

NOTE: Depending on the length of the hearing, the Committee may break a short time for lunch around 12:00 pm

MEETING AGENDA

The hearing order is approximate and subject to change

1. Call to Order and Establishment of Quorum
2. Adoption of minutes for April 25-26, 2017, Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee Meeting

Cases to be heard: 9:00 am till Completion of Business

RESPONDENT’S NAME	PURPOSE FOR APPEARANCE
3. Arshad, Shahrukh G 1747081	Security Guard Registration Automatic Suspension
a. DRC Hearing b. Public Comment c. Closed Session (<i>Possible Action</i>) ¹ d. Report on Closed Session	
4. Barrett, Elmore Oakland	Security Guard Registration Denial
a. DRC Hearing b. Public Comment c. Closed Session (<i>Possible Action</i>) ¹ d. Report on Closed Session	
5. Bramlage, Ray Placerville	Security Guard Registration Denial
a. DRC Hearing b. Public Comment c. Closed Session (<i>Possible Action</i>) ¹ d. Report on Closed Session	

RESPONDENT'S NAME	PURPOSE FOR APPEARANCE
6. Fernandez, Matthew Oakland	Security Guard Registration Denial
a. DRC Hearing b. Public Comment c. Closed Session (<i>Possible Action</i>) ¹ d. Report on Closed Session	
7. Green, Kevin Vacaville	Security Guard Registration Denial
a. DRC Hearing b. Public Comment c. Closed Session (<i>Possible Action</i>) ¹ d. Report on Closed Session	
8. Johnson, Martel San Francisco	Security Guard Registration Denial
a. DRC Hearing b. Public Comment c. Closed Session (<i>Possible Action</i>) ¹ d. Report on Closed Session	
9. Padilla, Ramon Watsonville	Security Guard Registration Denial
a. DRC Hearing b. Public Comment c. Closed Session (<i>Possible Action</i>) ¹ d. Report on Closed Session	
10. Pajimola, Nora Salinas	Security Guard Registration Denial
a. DRC Hearing b. Public Comment c. Closed Session (<i>Possible Action</i>) ¹ d. Report on Closed Session	
11. Sandage, John Clearlake	Security Guard Registration Denial
a. DRC Hearing b. Public Comment c. Closed Session (<i>Possible Action</i>) ¹ d. Report on Closed Session	
12. Smith, Sierra Stockton	Security Guard Registration Denial
a. DRC Hearing b. Public Comment c. Closed Session (<i>Possible Action</i>) ¹ d. Report on Closed Session	
13. Webb, Debra Sacramento	Security Guard Registration Denial
a. DRC Hearing b. Public Comment c. Closed Session (<i>Possible Action</i>) ¹ d. Report on Closed Session	

14. Public Comment on Items not on Agenda

15. Agenda Items for Future DRC Meetings

16. Adjournment

The DRC operates under and is subject to the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act.

This meeting may be cancelled or changed without notice. To confirm a specific meeting, please call the Bureau at 916-575-7064.

Any item may be taken out of order, upon approval by the committee, to accommodate speakers and/or to maintain a quorum. This meeting is open to the public except when specifically noticed otherwise in accordance with the Open Meeting Act.

This meeting is accessible to the physically disabled. A person who needs a disability-related accommodation or modification in order to participate in the meeting may make a request by contacting Jasmine Argo at (916) 575-7064 or submit your request by email to DisciplinaryReviewCommittee@dca.ca.gov. Requests for accommodations should be made at least 5 business days prior to the date of this meeting to provide the Bureau sufficient time to make arrangements for the needed accommodation.

You may access this agenda as well as obtain other information about the Disciplinary Review Committee at <http://www.bsis.ca.gov/consumers/decisions.shtml>.

¹ Pursuant to subdivision (c) (3) of Section 11126 of the Government Code, the Committee will meet in closed session to consider proposed disciplinary actions.